

NCBI Bookshelf. A service of the National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health.

StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-.

Hyperbaric Contraindications

Authors

Rohin Gawdi¹; Jeffrey S. Cooper².

Affiliations

¹ Wake Forest School of Medicine

² University of Nebraska Medical Center

Last Update: April 8, 2023.

Definition/Introduction

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) places the entire body in an increased pressure environment of a minimum of 1.4 atmospheres (atm) absolute, with 100% oxygen inspiration.[1] Many factors are involved when choosing a course of therapy for a patient. The best choice for the patient balances the risks and rewards. HBOT is generally well tolerated by most patients, although a few clinical scenarios must be screened before starting HBOT.

Issues of Concern

Absolute Contraindications

There is currently only one absolute contraindication to hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT): untreated pneumothorax. Placing a patient in a chamber and changing the pressure around them can result in a tension pneumothorax occurring on the ascent, which could quickly become life-threatening. Therefore, patients with pneumothorax should be treated (likely with some form of thoracostomy tube) before hyperbaric oxygen therapy.

Relative Contraindications

These were previously thought to be absolute contraindications:

- Concurrent doxorubicin use and HBOT can increase the risk of doxorubicin-mediated cardiotoxicity. Therefore, Doxorubicin should be stopped at least 24 hours before HBOT.
- Bleomycin is known to cause interstitial pneumonitis and fibrosis and was thought to be an absolute contraindication for HBOT, as previous studies had shown an increased risk of side effects when used with supplemental home oxygen. However, more recent papers have demonstrated many of these patients can be safely treated with HBOT, particularly if the bleomycin exposure was distant (greater than six months before HBOT). Pretreatment evaluation with a physical examination, radiography, blood gas, and spirometry is necessary to determine if HBOT is safe.
- Disulfiram, by blocking superoxide dismutase, can increase the risk of oxygen toxicity (seizures and pulmonary toxicity) and should not be used concurrently with HBOT.
- The use of Cisplatin in conjunction with HBOT is a relative contraindication; Cisplatin can impair wound healing and make the treatment futile. However, the medication is not an absolute contraindication as there is no increased risk or severity of side effects.
- Mafenide can cause local carbon dioxide production leading to acidosis. It can be wiped off for safety. Evidence of significant ill effects is lacking.[2]



These have long been held to be relative contraindications, and risks versus benefits should be addressed accordingly:

- Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is a relative contraindication to HBOT due to the risk of hypercarbia. The high oxygen fraction increasing blood oxygen saturation levels can lead to oxygen-induced hypoventilation and increased ventilation/perfusion (V/Q) mismatch.
- Asthma can result in air trapping and the development of pulmonary barotrauma. Similarly, asymptomatic pulmonary blebs and bullae found on plain chest radiographs also serve as a relative contraindication due to the potential air trapping progressing to a pneumothorax.
- Implanted devices should be pressure tested to determine their safety and ability to function in a high-pressure environment. Most have been pressure tested to withstand 100 FSW (4 ATA). However, it is always advisable to check with the manufacturer. While there have not been any case reports of an internal cardiac defibrillator triggering a fire in a patient in the hyperbaric chamber, it is possible to turn them off during treatment (if there is an acceptable risk of dysrhythmia).
- Patients with epidural pain pumps are at risk of device malfunction or deformation under pressure. Contact the manufacturer to verify the pressure limitations of the specific device.
- Pregnancy has traditionally been considered a relative contraindication because of unknown effects on the fetus. Recent studies have moved pregnancy from a contraindication to an indication in certain circumstances. Patients who are pregnant can benefit from HBOT in the setting of carbon monoxide (CO) poisoning. Due to the high affinity of fetal hemoglobin to oxygen and CO, HBOT improves fetal outcomes in CO poisoning.
- High fever or epilepsy can decrease the seizure threshold, making oxygen toxicity more likely. The risk of HBOT-induced oxygen toxicity resulting in seizures in patients at increased risk of seizures due to history or recent brain surgery is unknown. Antiepileptics and fever control can help alleviate this risk.
- The inability to equalize ear or sinus pressure, perhaps from previous surgery, radiation, or acute upper respiratory tract infection, could cause pain or barotrauma. In addition, a history of certain ear conditions requiring surgery, such as otosclerosis, can be problematic. Acutely congested patients or those with minor difficulty clearing their ears can be treated with phenylephrine nasal spray. If ineffective or if the specific history of ear disease indicates, tympanostomy tubes should be placed before the initiation of HBOT.
- Eustachian tube dysfunction can increase the risk of barotrauma to the tympanic membrane. Therefore, it is recommended that patients undergo pressure equalization training or receive tympanostomy tubes before HBOT.
- Claustrophobia is possibly a contraindication, depending on severity, adequacy of control with anxiolytics, and chamber size. In severe cases, it could even represent an absolute contraindication for the patient if they are dangerous to themselves or the tender in the chamber.
- A history of eye surgery may be a contraindication to HBOT if any air or gas is trapped in the eye, as expansion or contraction of gas could damage the eye.
- A history of thoracic surgery can increase the risk of atelectasis and pneumothorax in the setting of HBOT. A thorough assessment should be performed before proceeding.
- A history of spontaneous pneumothorax is a relative contraindication and may require further evaluation before starting HBOT.
- Current upper respiratory and severe sinus infections increase the sinus and inner ear barotrauma risk, leading to further complications and severe patient discomfort. Related, uncontrollable high fevers (>39 C) are a



relative contraindication before beginning therapy and warrant a clinical evaluation to determine the source of infection.

- Asymptomatic pulmonary lesions on chest x-ray should be evaluated before proceeding to HBOT.
- History of optic neuritis or sudden blindness has traditionally been a relative contraindication to undergoing HBOT. However, there have been limited studies on these patients. Additionally, HBOT has been shown to provide therapeutic benefits to patients with radiation-induced optic neuritis, central retinal artery occlusion, retinal vein occlusion, macular edema, and others. Therefore, patients with a history of ophthalmologic pathology should be clinically evaluated to determine the potential risks and benefits.
- Insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus or acute hypoglycemia is a relative contraindication for HBOT due to therapy-induced hypoglycemia. However, point-of-care glucose monitoring and frequent nursing interaction are often sufficient to perform HBOT on diabetic patients safely.
- Nicotine or caffeine use are contraindications before HBOT. The vasoconstriction caused by these agents reduces the effectiveness of therapy. For the same reasons, illicit vasoconstricting agents such as cocaine or amphetamines are also contraindicated.
- Congenital spherocytosis has been considered dangerous, as the increased partial pressure of oxygen could cause hemolysis. However, there have been reports of patients being treated without issue.
- Perilymph fistulas, which occur from inner ear barotrauma, cause vertigo and other vestibular symptoms and can be aggravated by HBOT with gas being forced into the cochlea.[3]
- HBOT may trigger the reactivation of tuberculosis. To identify latent tuberculosis, high-risk patients should undergo the tuberculin skin test or interferon-gamma release assays before HBOT.[4]

Exposure to hyperbaric oxygen therapy-related oxidative stress may significantly affect age-related macular degeneration, keratoconus, and perhaps other ocular diseases. These should be considered carefully when weighing the risks and benefits of hyperbaric treatment.[5] In glaucoma, the oxygen concentration in the aqueous humor with the risk of damage to the trabecular meshwork may be greater if the anterior ocular surface is exposed to hyperbaric oxygen by a hood or monoplace chamber. Mask delivery of hyperbaric oxygen may be a safer method.[6]

Not a Contraindication

It had been hypothesized that active cancer would be a contraindication to HBOT. The proposed mechanism was that hyperbaric oxygen causes the release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and could cause increased tumor growth. However, given the difference in tumor growth cycles versus wound healing and a literature review, the evidence shows a net neutral effect on gene expression related to tumor growth.

Clinical Significance

HBOT can be used for emergent or elective interventions. The primary emergent indication for HBOT is decompression sickness from gas embolism and decompression illness. It is also used for acute management of carbon monoxide toxicity, chronic refractory osteomyelitis, radiation injuries to soft tissue, and clostridial myonecrosis, although evidence for its use is less robust. It has also been used in necrotizing wounds, retinal artery occlusion, and acute trauma, though clear evidence of efficacy for these conditions is somewhat lacking.[1] In some of these conditions, HBOT is an adjuvant for patients unresponsive to traditional treatment methods alone.[7]

Additional research indicates that patients recovering from head and neck tumors after radiation and surgical intervention may experience progressive fibrosis of soft tissue within the jaw. Studies have shown that patients who receive coadministered HBOT experience better outcomes than those without and conclude that patients who are irradiated for head and neck tumors should be referred to HBOT centers. Physicians should coordinate this effort with



planned surgeries to optimize tissue healing.[8] Delayed radiation sequelae for treating neurologic, gynecologic, urologic, and colorectal cancers have all shown responsiveness to concurrent HBOT.[9][10]

There is also evidence that HBOT treats severe anemia where transfusions are refused (as with observant Jehovah's Witnesses) or cannot be safely performed.[11] Evidence for this has been established at the basic science level and corroborated in healthy patients.

One of the main drawbacks of this treatment method is the relative lack of access. There is a national shortage of HBOT centers in the United States, limiting its use and study as a mainstay treatment.[1]

Nursing, Allied Health, and Interprofessional Team Interventions

Indications for HBOT use should be carefully weighed against the patients' potential contraindications for treatment to ensure that patients' benefit outweighs the associated risks. Interprofessional collaboration is crucial to ensure that patients' contraindications are considered and ruled out while ensuring that HBOT is used with a reasonable indication of benefit. As HBOT can be used emergently or electively, interprofessional roles vary considerably. In emergent situations, earlier HBOT initiation is correlated with improved outcomes.[12] While emergency department physicians may have the final say in recommending emergent HBOT, nurses, radiologists, emergency medical service personnel, and pharmacists are critical in ruling out contraindications for HBOT use.

Emergency medical service personnel are responsible for producing the initial history, which may include indications that emergency HBOT is needed, such as a diving injury or evidence of carbon monoxide toxicity. Radiology staff must evaluate past radiographs for evidence of pulmonary nodules or other pulmonary abnormalities that may contraindicate elective HBOT. In addition, radiology will have a critical role in emergent situations to rule out tension pneumothorax, the single absolute contraindication of HBOT. Pharmacists and pharmacy staff have an important role in considering the patient's current medications and medication schedules so that patients undergoing chemotherapy can also utilize elective HBOT safely and without risk of medication effects. Nurses play an important role in monitoring patients undergoing HBOT for adverse reactions and are essential to maintaining patient safety and well-being during HBOT treatment. For elective HBOT, primary care providers must carefully note medical conditions that may relatively contraindicate HBOT, including claustrophobia, upper respiratory infections, diabetes, eustachian tube malformations, and chronic respiratory diseases. When considering HBOT, the primary care staff must continuously review potential comorbidities that may introduce additional risk to the patient.[1][2]

Oxford CEBM Evidence Levels for HBOT by Condition

- Gas embolus: Level II[13]
- Radiation injury treatment: Level II[14]
- Refractory osteomyelitis: Level III[15]

Review Questions

- [Access free multiple choice questions on this topic.](#)
- [Comment on this article.](#)

References

1. Kirby JP. Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy as an Elective Treatment. *Mo Med*. 2019 May-Jun;116(3):184-187. [PMC free article: PMC6690302] [PubMed: 31527937]
2. Howell RS, Criscitelli T, Woods JS, Gillette BM, Gorenstein S. Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy: Indications, Contraindications, and Use at a Tertiary Care Center: 1.3 www.aornjournal.org/content/cme. *AORN J*. 2018



Apr;107(4):442-453. [PubMed: 29595909]

3. Fife CE, Eckert KA, Carter MJ. An Update on the Appropriate Role for Hyperbaric Oxygen: Indications and Evidence. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2016 Sep;138(3 Suppl):107S-116S. [PMC free article: PMC4996355] [PubMed: 27556750]
4. Wang KY, Lin YS, Sy CL, Huang WC, Chen LW. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy increases the risk of tuberculosis disease. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2018 Jun 01;22(6):637-640. [PubMed: 29862947]
5. McMonnies CW. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy and the possibility of ocular complications or contraindications. *Clin Exp Optom*. 2015 Mar;98(2):122-5. [PubMed: 25308346]
6. McMonnies C. Reactive oxygen species, oxidative stress, glaucoma and hyperbaric oxygen therapy. *J Optom*. 2018 Jan-Mar;11(1):3-9. [PMC free article: PMC5777925] [PubMed: 28760643]
7. Weaver LK, Hopkins RO, Chan KJ, Churchill S, Elliott CG, Clemmer TP, Orme JF, Thomas FO, Morris AH. Hyperbaric oxygen for acute carbon monoxide poisoning. *N Engl J Med*. 2002 Oct 03;347(14):1057-67. [PubMed: 12362006]
8. Marx RE, Ames JR. The use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in bony reconstruction of the irradiated and tissue-deficient patient. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1982 Jul;40(7):412-20. [PubMed: 7045303]
9. Marshall GT, Thirlby RC, Bredfeldt JE, Hampson NB. Treatment of gastrointestinal radiation injury with hyperbaric oxygen. *Undersea Hyperb Med*. 2007 Jan-Feb;34(1):35-42. [PubMed: 17393937]
10. Fink D, Chetty N, Lehm JP, Marsden DE, Hacker NF. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for delayed radiation injuries in gynecological cancers. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2006 Mar-Apr;16(2):638-42. [PubMed: 16681739]
11. De Bels D, Theunissen S, Devriendt J, Germonpré P, Lafere P, Valsamis J, Snoeck T, Meeus P, Balestra C. The 'normobaric oxygen paradox': does it increase haemoglobin? *Diving Hyperb Med*. 2012 Jun;42(2):67-71. [PubMed: 22828812]
12. Lam G, Fontaine R, Ross FL, Chiu ES. Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy: Exploring the Clinical Evidence. *Adv Skin Wound Care*. 2017 Apr;30(4):181-190. [PubMed: 28301358]
13. Moon RE. Hyperbaric oxygen treatment for air or gas embolism. *Undersea Hyperb Med*. 2014 Mar-Apr;41(2):159-66. [PubMed: 24851554]
14. Hoggan BL, Cameron AL. Systematic review of hyperbaric oxygen therapy for the treatment of non-neurological soft tissue radiation-related injuries. *Support Care Cancer*. 2014 Jun;22(6):1715-26. [PubMed: 24794980]
15. Goldman RJ. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for wound healing and limb salvage: a systematic review. *PM R*. 2009 May;1(5):471-89. [PubMed: 19627935]

Disclosure: Rohin Gawdi declares no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies.

Disclosure: Jeffrey Cooper declares no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies.

Copyright © 2025, StatPearls Publishing LLC.

This book is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits others to distribute the work, provided that the article is not altered or used commercially. You are not required to obtain permission to distribute this article, provided that you credit the author and journal.

Bookshelf ID: NBK557661 PMID: 32491593